

Effect of season and trophic level on fatty acid composition and content of four commercial fish species from Krasnoyarsk Reservoir (Siberia, Russia)

Nadezhda N. Sushchik^{1,2}, Anastasia E. Rudchenko^{2*}, Michail I. Gladyshev^{1,2}

¹ *Institute of Biophysics of Siberian Branch of **Federal Research Center “Krasnoyarsk Science Center”** of Russian Academy of Sciences, Akademgorodok, 50/50, Krasnoyarsk 660036, Russia*

² *Siberian Federal University, Svobodny av., 79, Krasnoyarsk 660041, Russia*

*Corresponding author: A.E. Rudchenko, Siberian Federal University, Svobodny av., 79, Krasnoyarsk 660041, Russia. Tel.+7 3912 49 52 53 Fax +7 3912 43 34 00
E-mail address: rudchenko.a.e@gmail.com

Abstract

Two groups of factors, phylogenetic and ecological, are presently regarded as controlling fatty acid composition of fish, including essential eicosapentaenoic (EPA) and docosahexaenoic (DHA) acids. Environmental effects, e.g., trophic position, temperature and/or seasonality, were previously studied using sums of fatty acids or only their level data. We tested the hypothesis that differences in trophic levels of piscivorous (pike and perch) and omnivorous (roach and bream) fish from a mesotrophic reservoir allow discriminating levels and contents of individual fatty acids, especially EPA and DHA. The more established measurements, i.e., stomach contents and carbon and nitrogen stable isotopes in fish muscles, were also carried out to provide linkages between the different ecological tracers, fatty acids versus stable isotopes, and matching the methods for long-term food sources (fatty acids and stable isotopes) and recent foraging (stomach content analysis). We also studied a putative influence of seasonality. Similar to other studies, there were seasonal changes in fatty acid composition and contents of two fish, perch and roach, due to direct and indirect effects of water temperature. Meanwhile, the piscivorous and omnivorous species captured in the same month, were explicitly differentiated on a base of stable isotopes and fatty acids. Significantly higher percentages and contents of DHA in piscivorous fish, perch and pike, relatively to those in roach and bream, likely indicated a higher trophic transfer efficiency for this essential fatty acid. All the fishes have commercial importance for regional fishery and are harvested from the studied reservoir for human nutrition. Regarding content of EPA+DHA ($\text{mg} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ fish) as the indicator of nutritive value for humans, pike had the highest nutritive value, roach and perch had intermediate overlapped values, and bream was of the least benefit.

Key words: piscivorous and omnivorous fish, trophic level, season, fatty acids, stable isotopes

1. Introduction

Consumption of fish is an important part of human diet, accounting for about 17 percent of the global population's intake of animal protein (FAO, 2016). In addition to protein, wild fish are unique and rich sources of such essential compounds as polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), eicosapentaenoic acid (20:5n-3, EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (22:6n-3, DHA), in human western diets (Robert 2006; Gladyshev et al., 2013, 2015b). EPA and DHA are biochemical precursors of important signaling molecules (prostaglandins, thromboxanes, leukotrienes, neuroprotectins) and on the base of over 30 years of human clinical trials and epidemiological surveys have been specifically recommended for prevention of cardiovascular diseases, psychiatric disorders and some other illnesses (Hibbeln et al., 2006; Plourde and Cunnane 2007; Bazan 2009; De Caterina 2011; Casula et al., 2013). Mechanisms underlying the cardioprotective effects of EPA and DHA as the signaling molecule (endogenous mediators) precursors, include arrhythmia prevention, vascular relaxation improvement, antiinflammatory responses, platelet aggregation inhibition and enhancement of plaque stability (e.g., Adkins and Kelley 2010). To reduce the risk of morbidity and mortality from cardiovascular disease, a number of international and national health organizations recommend personal intake of 0.5 – 1.0 g of EPA+DHA per day (Kris-Etherton et al., 2009; Adkins and Kelley 2010).

The main indicator of nutritive value of fish for humans, content of EPA+DHA ($\text{mg} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$ of wet weight) in edible part, muscle tissues (filets), can vary among species and habitats by more than two orders of magnitude (Gladyshev et al., 2013). Exact causes of such great variations are unknown yet. Phylogenetic (species identity) factor may be of importance for fatty acid composition and content, i.e., some species contain extremely small amounts of EPA and DHA in their flesh (e.g., Kwetegyeka et al., 2008; Vasconi et al., 2015). In addition to phylogeny, fatty acid composition and PUFA supplies in fish may vary within a given species

due to various physiological and ecological factors (e.g., Ahlgren et al., 2009; Lau et al., 2012; Vasconi et al., 2015).

Main ecological factors are believed to be food and water temperature, which are determined by type of habitat and season (e.g., Ahlgren et al., 1996, 2009; Sushchik et al., 2006; Czesny et al., 2011; Guler et al., 2011; Vasconi et al., 2015). In addition, seasonal changes of reproductive phases, e.g. ripening, spawning and regeneration, which lead to the mobilisation and re-allocation of endogenous reserves, also affect fatty acid composition both in reserve somatic tissues, muscle and liver, and in gonads of fish (Mairesse et al., 2006; Perez et al., 2007; Sushchik et al., 2007; Rojbek et al., 2014). A relative importance of the above ecological factor is still unknown; moreover, results of experimental and field studies often are controversial (Gribble et al., 2016). Recently, trophic position of fish, e.g., herbivorous, omnivorous (invertivorous) or piscivorous, was shown to determine their FA composition (e.g., Ahlgren et al., 2009; Czesny et al., 2011; Vasconi et al., 2015). For instance, in two freshwater studies species that occupied higher trophic position, i.e. whose diet were part or all fish, contained higher proportion of PUFA of n-3 and n-6 families (Williams et al., 2014; Vasconi et al., 2015). The cited authors indicated that trophic position (food habits) was illuminating in characterization of fatty acid composition compared to phylogenetic factor (taxonomic family).

As found recently, trophic transfer efficiency (TTE), measured as the ratio between production of a trophic level and that of the previous level, was two-fold higher for long-chain PUFA than for total organic carbon and short-chain PUFA (Gladyshev et al., 2011). The higher TTE results in higher proportions (% of total fatty acids) and/or contents (mg/g tissue) in upper levels of trophic chains: phytoplankton (seston) – zooplankton (e.g., Kainz et al., 2004), phytobenthos – zoobenthos (Gladyshev et al., 2009b), fish – bird (Gladyshev et al., 2010a) and plankton – fish (Strandberg et al., 2015). For fish of different trophic levels, there are pioneer data of Ahlgren et al. (1996) on FA content of omnivorous and carnivorous species. However, in the cited work sums of FAs of certain structural groups (saturated, mono- and polyunsaturated,

EPA+DHA) were compared. Meanwhile, as demonstrated by Strandberg et al. (2015), trophic transfer patterns of n-3 PUFA, including EPA and DHA, from food to fish related to their molecular structure. Thus, comparison of individual fatty acids, rather their sums, in biomass of fish of different trophic levels appears to be important.

In our work, we aimed to compare fatty acid composition and content using individual FA of two piscivorous and two planktivorous-benthivorous fish species from a large mesotrophic water body, Krasnoyarsk Reservoir, which is located in Central Siberia, Russia. The reservoir is one of the largest regional freshwater bodies and provides total amount of caught fish of nearly 1,500 metric tons per year (Analytic Reports..., 2016). The main commercial fish of interest are Eurasian perch (*Perca fluviatilis*), roach (*Rutilus rutilus*), bream (*Abramis brama*), and pike (*Esox lucius*), which average yearly harvests are of 940, 210, 170, and 15 metric tons, respectively. Most part of the caught fish is sold fresh, and some salted or dried. To take into account putative influence of seasonality, which may confound the comparison of trophic levels, we also studied seasonal dynamics of FA composition and content in two fish species, perch and roach, available during whole period of the study.

2. Methods

2.1. Study site

Krasnoyarsk Reservoir is a large water body that was created in the upper part of the Yenisei River during electric power station building (Fig. 1). It has previously been described in detail (Gladyshev et al., 1993; Ageev et al., 2008). The reservoir is deep (up to 110 m) and thermally stratified, and surface water temperature (0-10 m) varied from near zero (0.8 °C) under ice cover in March to 13 °C – 22 °C in June – August (Dubovskaya et al., 2004; Ageev et al., 2008). It is partly eutrophicated, and blooms of nuisance cyanobacteria species regularly occur in

bays and stretches. Zooplankton comprises mostly copepods, cladocerans and rotifers. The samples were taken in Ubei Bay, which is situated in the middle part of the reservoir (55° 06' 59" N, 91° 37' 44" E).

2.2. Sampling

Four fish species were caught in Ubei Bay of Krasnoyarsk Reservoir (Fig.1) in spring and summer months of 2014 and 2015 (Table 1). During the summer, the fish were caught using gill nets. Nets were set at a distance of 5 -50 meters from the shore, at a depth of 3 - 15 m. In March, perch was caught from under the ice using a hook fishing gear. Weather and variable catch rates resulted in incomplete sampling among the fish species and across each month and year (Table 1). All caught fish were sexually mature. The ratio of males and females was approximately 1:1.

Fish were immediately brought to the nearby laboratory at the Biological station, School of Fundamental Biology and Biotechnology (Siberian Federal University, Krasnoyarsk, Russia). In the laboratory, fish were measured and weighed. Additionally, digestive tracts were removed for analysis of diet composition. For biochemical analyses, samples of the muscle tissues, weighing approximately 2-3 g, were taken from the dorsal side of fish individuals, 1 - 2 cm below the dorsal fin. When cutting the muscle samples, we avoided red muscles, skin and bones. The samples were divided into two subsamples: for fatty acid and stable isotope analyses. Stable isotope subsamples were additionally used for moisture measurements. For fatty acid analyses, ca. 1 g of muscle tissues were placed into chloroform : methanol mixture (2:1, volume/volume) and kept until further analysis at -20 °C. To measure moisture and stable isotope analyses, subsamples of ca. 1-2 g of wet weight were weighed, dried at 75 °C until constant weight, and weighed dry. Then, they were kept in a desiccator for further stable isotope elemental analysis.

2.3. Diet composition analysis

To characterize the diet of fish species, digestive tracts were removed through longitudinal cuts in the abdomen using a scissor, scalpel and tweezers. For Cyprinidae species (roach and bream), only content of the first 1/3 of intestine was analyzed, due to a high degree of digestion in the final part. For piscivorous fish (perch and pike), the stomach contents were used to analyze the diet composition. Food items were identified to the lowest practical taxonomic level (order or class) and sorted under optical and stereoscopic microscopes. To tentatively quantify the diet composition, we counted items, summed, and visually estimated their approximate volumetric portion of the total content in each digestive tract analyzed. Then, the diet items were divided into three groups based on their approximate percentage of the total stomach volume (range of 30 – 60 %, 10 – 30 %, 1 – 10% of the total, respectively) for a given species in a given month.

2.4. Biochemical analyses

Lipid extraction and subsequent preparation of fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) were the same as in our previous works (e.g. Gladyshev et al., 2015c). Briefly, lipids were extracted by a modified Folch method with chloroform : methanol (2:1, v/v) three times, simultaneously with mechanical homogenization of the tissues with glass beads. FAMEs were prepared in a mixture of methanol-sulphuric acid (20:1, v/v) at 85 °C for 2 h. A gas chromatograph equipped with a mass spectrometer detector (model 6890/5975C; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, USA) and with a 30 m long, 0.25 mm internal diameter capillary column HP-FFAP was used for FAME analysis. Detailed description of chromatographic and mass spectrometric conditions was given elsewhere (Gladyshev et al., 2014). The FAMEs were quantified according to the peak area of the internal standard, nonadecanoic acid, which we added to samples as a chloroform solution prior to the lipid extraction.

2.5. Stable isotope analyses

Detailed description of the measurement of stable carbon and nitrogen isotopes is given elsewhere (Gladyshev et al., 2015a). Dried subsamples of fish muscles were homogenized using a mortar and pestle and ~1 mg from each sample were analyzed with a continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer (CF-IRMS), model Delta V Plus (Thermo Scientific Corporation, USA) interfaced with an elemental analyzer (Flash EA 1112 Series, Thermo Electron Corporation, USA). Stable isotope data were expressed in the delta notation relative to Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (PDB) and atmospheric N₂ for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, correspondingly. All samples were analyzed in duplicate. The accuracy and precision of the measurement were verified twice or triple per a day by secondary reference material USGS40 (L-glutamic acid) from International Atomic Energy Agency. Analytical reproducibility was $\pm 0.2\text{‰}$ for C and $\pm 0.3\text{‰}$ for N.

As $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values of aquatic animals could be biased due to variability in lipid content, we recalculated total fatty acids in total lipid contents in the fish species using the conversion factor, gram FA/gram lipid, which is reported as 0.7 for lean fish muscle (Greenfield and Southgate, 2003). Lipid contents for perch, roach, pike and bream caught in June ranged from 0.47 % to 0.81 % of wet weight. Because all lipid content values were much lower than 5 % (Post et al., 2007), we did not normalize $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values of the studied species.

2.6. Statistical analyses

Standard errors (SE), Kolmogorov-Smirnov one-sample test for normality D_{K-S} , one-way ANOVA with Fisher's LSD *post hoc* tests and multivariate discriminant analysis (Legendre and Legendre, 1998) were calculated conventionally, using STATISTICA software, version 9.0 (StatSoft Inc., USA). Only normally distributed variables (fatty acid percentages or contents) were included in the analyses. Due to non-normal distribution, we removed 20:2n-6, 20:3n-3, 20:4n-3 and 24:1n-9 from ANOVA of seasonality for both perch and roach.

To reveal putative differences in the FA composition of four fish species, multivariate discriminant analysis (MDA) was used. MDA was performed on the untransformed FA profile data; FA that had non-normal distribution were excluded from the analysis. The discriminant analysis is a method of linear modelling to classify observations into *a priori* known groups. MDA firstly tests for differences in the predictor variables among the pre-defined groups (i.e., it is identical to ANOVA for a single explanatory variable), and secondly finds the linear combinations (called discriminant functions) of the variables that best discriminate among the groups (Legendre & Legendre, 1998). Here predefined groups were fish species, i.e. perch, roach, pike and bream, caught in the same month, June, and their FA percentage were the variables.

3. Results

Proportions of food items found in stomachs of the studied fish species are presented in Table 2. Perch diet compositions switched from zooplankton items in spring to a mixed diet of invertebrates in early summer, and then to a diet including fish by late summer. In July and August, perch predominantly consumed fish, majority of that was roach. Roach diets included invertebrates in June, but primarily consisted of detritus, algae, and bacteria in July and August (Table 2). The diet of pike mostly included fish, primarily roach (Table 2), whereas bream consumed zooplankton, mostly copepods and cladocerans.

Results of stable isotope analyses are given in Fig. 2. Bream and roach had nearly equal mean $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values, which indicated their similar trophic positions, and nearly equal mean $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ value, which indicated similar carbon sources. Perch had mean values of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ higher than roach and bream by 2.7‰ and 2.6 ‰, respectively (Fig. 2); the differences were statistically significant (Student's *t*-test, $t = 4.36$, $P < 0.001$, degree of freedom, d.f. = 20 and $t = 2.62$, $P < 0.05$, d.f. = 14, respectively). Similarly, pike had mean values of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ higher than roach and bream by 3.2‰ and

3.0 ‰, respectively (Fig. 2). The difference of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ between pike and roach was statistically significant ($t = 3.88$, $P < 0.01$, d.f. = 14), but it was marginally insignificant for bream ($t = 2.27$, $P = 0.053$, d.f. = 8). Mean values of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ for pike and perch differed little and insignificantly. Mean value of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ for pike was significantly lower than that for perch (Fig. 2, $t = 2.78$, $P < 0.05$, d.f. = 8). Mean values of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ for bream and roach were not significantly different and evidently overlapped with that of perch (Fig. 2). The difference of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ mean values between pike and roach, 2.0 ‰, was statistically significant ($t = 2.72$, $P < 0.05$, d.f. = 14), but it was insignificant for bream ($t = 1.61$, $P = 0.053$, d.f. = 8).

Average moisture of the muscle tissue of the studied fish species varied from 70.2% to 72.7% (Table 3).

In March, percentages of 14:0, 15:0, 20:4n-6, 20:5n-3 and 22:5n-3 in perch biomass were significantly higher than those in summer months (Table 4). In contrast, percentages of 16:1n-9, 18:0 and 22:6n-3 in March were significantly lower than those in summer months (Table 4). Percentages of 16:1n-7, 17:0, 18:2n-6, 18:3n-3, 20:1n-9, and 22:5n-6 in perch biomass had no any gradual seasonal trend, but varied significantly between months. Percentages of 16:0, 15-17BFA, 18:1n-9, 18:1n-7 and 18:4n-3 in perch biomass had no significant differences between the studied months (Table 4).

In roach biomass, percentages of 16:0, 20:4n-6, 22:5n-6 and 22:6n-3 decreased significantly from June to August (Table 5). In contrast, percentages of 15:0, 15-17BFA, 17:0, 18:0, 18:1n-9, 18:1n-7 and 18:3n-3 increased significantly from June to August (Table 5). Percentages of 18:2n-6, 18:4n-3, 20:1n-9, 20:3n-3 and 20:4n-3 in roach biomass had no any gradual seasonal trend, but varied significantly between months. Percentages of 14:0, 16:1n-9, 16:1n-7, 20:5n-3, 22:5n-3, in roach biomass had no significant differences between the studied months (Table 5).

Since we found significant seasonal trends and variation in FA composition of perch and roach, we compared FA data for four fish species, caught in the studied reservoir in the same

month, June. Perch had the highest average percentage of 16:1n-9, 20:1n-9, and the lowest percentage of 18:3n-3 in biomass compared to those in the other species (Table 6). Perch had significantly lower percentage of 18:1n-9 than roach and bream (Table 6). Pike had the lowest mean percentages of 16:1n-7 and 20:4n-6 and the lowest ratio of n-6/n-3 (Table 6). Roach had the lowest percentage of 22:5n-6, but the highest value of 22:6n-3 percentage (Table 6). Bream had the highest average percentage of 15-17BFA in biomass (Table 6). The piscivorous species, perch and pike, had significantly lower percentages of 18:2n-6 and 20:5n-3, but significantly higher percentage of 22:6n-3 in their biomass, than the planktivorous and benthivorous species, roach and bream (Table 6). Percentages of 14:0, 16:0, 18:4n-3 did not differ significantly among the studied fish species (Table 6).

According to MDA, there were significant differences in the FA composition among the fish species. Both discriminant functions (Root 1 and 2) were high and statistically significant, and the cumulative proportion of variance explained by the two roots (discriminatory power) was 95.05%. Root 1 discriminated best the piscivorous species, perch and pike, from the planktivorous and benthivorous species, roach and bream (Fig. 3). The piscivorous species were separated due to higher DHA and lower EPA percentages than the lower trophic level fishes. In second discriminant function (Root 2), higher proportions of BFA separated bream and pike from roach and perch, which contained higher proportions of 20:1n-9 (Fig. 3).

Contents of 20:5n-3, sum 20:5n-3+22:6n-3 and sum of FA in perch biomass (mg g^{-1} of wet weight) were significantly higher in March, than in other months (Table 4). Contents of 22:6n-3 were relatively similar for perch in May and June, but declined significantly as summer progressed (Table 4). In contrast to perch, 20:5n-3, 22:6n-3 and sum of FA (mg g^{-1} of wet weight) in roach increased significantly from June to August (Table 5).

We compared contents of two essential FA, 20:5n-3 and 22:6n-3, their sum and total FA in four fish species, caught in the same month. June. Pike had the highest content of 22:6n-3 and sum 20:5n-3+22:6n-3 (Table 6). Roach had highest value of the content of 20:5n-3 in biomass

(Table 6). Sum of FA content did not differ significantly among the studied fish species (Table 6).

4. Discussion

Since sum of contents of EPA+DHA is used as the indicator of nutritive value of fish for humans (Kris-Etherton et al., 2009; Adkins and Kelley 2010), pike from Krasnoyarsk Reservoir had the highest nutritive value, while perch and roach had equal and intermediate value, and bream had the lowest value, nearly half that of pike. Regarding another indicator of nutritive value, ratio n-6/n-3, pike also had the lowest ratio, e.g., the highest nutritive value. However, these ratios of all studied species, although significantly different, were far below threshold value of any harmful effect for human nutrition. To avoid a possible effect of seasonality, we compared nutritive values of the fish species of various trophic levels using individuals collected for the same month, June. The same comparison for one month was done in the seminal work of Ahlgren et al (1996).

To carry out more broad inter-species comparison, data for other seasons and water bodies should be taken into consideration. Therefore, we took average data for all studied months for perch and roach (for pike and bream only June samples were available), and compared them with literature data on the same species, obtained by similar method, namely using internal standard for FA quantification per a tissue mass unit (Table 7). In general, our data fell within the known range of contents for most species, i.e., pike, roach and perch, or had very similar values, i.e., bream (Table 7). Like in the other studies, bream had lowest nutritive value regarding EPA+DHA content. The content of EPA and DHA for pike, perch and roach from different populations overlapped, but pike tended to have the maximum nutritive value (maximum sum of EPA+DHA), roach had intermediate value, and perch had a bit lower EPA+DHA content than two above species (Table 7). In overall, relatively large ranges of EPA

and DHA content in some species, e.g., pike and roach, argue that more studies are still necessary to predict the causes of these variations and shifts in FA profiles.

Using the above data (Table 7), we can calculate the filet portions of the studied fish that could provide a daily dose of EPA and DHA recommended for healthy life. Approximately 220 g of Siberian pike, 333 g of perch, or 450 g roach and bream filets need to be consumed to meet the daily requirement of EPA+DHA of 0.5 g (Kris-Etherton et al., 2009; Adkins and Kelley 2010). We did not measure any contaminants, and therefore could not calculate benefit/risk ratio for consuming these fishes. Meanwhile, the studied reservoir is located in a pristine region, thus, risk for consuming these fishes would hardly exceed its benefits. For instance, a long-term study of PUFA and heavy metals in filets of Siberian grayling caught from the Yenisei River, in the section located just downstream the studied reservoir, showed that the fish intake was potentially very beneficial for human health, except on few occasions (Gladyshev et al., 2009a).

Using stable isotope and FA trophic markers and stomach content analyses, we intended to disclose how differences in trophic level among these four species might lead to differences in their supply of essential PUFA. Pike is evidently piscivorous species. Perch may be regarded as piscivorous-omnivorous species, since besides fish there were zooplankton and zoobenthos in their stomachs. Indeed, perch had a bit lower mean value of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ than pike, although this difference was statistically insignificant. The differences of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ mean values between perch and pike, on the one hand, and roach and bream, on the other, were 2.6-3.2‰. The conventional value of constant of fractionation between trophic levels, $\Delta\delta^{15}\text{N}$, for aquatic animals is known to be 3.4 ‰ (e.g., Vander Zanden and Rasmussen 2001; Barnard et al., 2006; Lau et al., 2009), and for fish muscle tissue it is 3.2‰ (Nilsen et al., 2008). On the other hand, using data generalized by Caut et al. (2009), the calculated fractionation factor was 2.0‰. Thus, according to nitrogen isotopic signatures, trophic positions of roach and bream differed from those of perch and pike by approximately one trophic level. Indeed, stomach content analyses indicated roach and bream as planktivorous-benthivorous species. In addition, we compared $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values with ratios of

18:1n-9/18:1n-7, which increase was reported to trace higher trophic level animals (Kopprio et al., 2015; Kraft et al., 2015). However, abrupt increase in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in piscivorous perch and pike versus invertivorous species (roach and bream) was not accompanied by an apparent increase of these FA ratios (Fig. 4A). We suggested that although 18:1n-9/18:1n-7 ratio is an informative indicator for plankton communities, [this ratio may be affected by more than just trophic position in freshwater fishes.](#)

It is also important to emphasize, that according to $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values, perch and roach obtained organic carbon from nearly the same basic source, while pike relied on some other base. Bream seems to have intermediate carbon sources relative to the two above bases. It is worth to note that pike and bream differed from perch and roach due to higher percentages of bacterial 15-17BFA, while two latter had higher 20:1n-9 levels (Fig.3, 4B), likely originated from copepods (Graeve et al., 2005). These results probably mean that pike and bream relied primarily on detritus (bottom and nearshore area) carbon sources, while roach and perch were incorporated mainly into food chain of offshore pelagic region. Although pike is known to be flexible in its feeding habits (e.g., Beaudoin et al., 2001), due to typical ambush hunting strategy, it prefers to feed in littoral or near bottom zones (e.g., Zambrano et al., 2006) that are enriched in detritus of both autochthonous and allochthonous origin. [Although roach were common in stomach content analyses of pike, both stable isotope and fatty acid analysis suggest other items are important components of its diet. e.g., detritus which was likely ingested accidentally.](#) Concerning bream, its adult's diet is almost exclusively demersal, therefore, this species also benefits from bottom habitats (Michel and Oberdoff, 1995).

In any case, there was very good agreement between results of stable isotope and fatty acid biomarker analyses, e.g., carbon isotopic signatures and such FA-markers as BFA and 20:1n-9 (Fig.4B). These both analyses reflect the long-term carbon sources assimilated into the body tissues, in contrast to stomach content analysis providing information about recent foraging (Davis et al., 2012). In this study, stomach content analysis also partly contrasted with SI and FA

markers, for instance, bream in June mostly consumed planktonic Cladocera and Copepoda, although the long-term markers indicated its benthic feeding.

The multidimensional discriminant analysis revealed explicit differences between the piscivorous and planktivorous-benthivorous fish, separated by the stable isotope analysis. The piscivorous fish, perch and pike, had significantly higher percentages of DHA, but significantly lower percentages of EPA, than those of the planktivorous-benthivorous fish, roach and bream. Regarding transfer efficiency between trophic levels, or another words, selective accumulation of PUFA, similar result was obtained by Stranberg et al. (2015), i.e., DHA had higher percentage in planktivorous fish, than in zooplankton, while EPA had the same or even lower percentage, than zooplankton. Thus, according to present data, only DHA, rather than EPA, is selectively accumulated (more efficiently transferred) in organisms of higher trophic levels. However, as mentioned above, we should not exclude a synthesis of certain amount of DHA by fish, at least by perch. DHA is known to have a critical role in the functioning of neural tissue (brain and eye) in fish and in their growth performance (Sargent et al., 1999; Tocher 2003; Trushenski et al., 2012; Mozanzadeh et al., 2015; Rombenso et al., 2015). Hence, higher percentages of DHA in piscivorous fish-species, pike and perch, may be related to their way of life, namely hunting large motile prey, which demands more developed neural system. In the studies of Williams et al. (2014) and Vasconi et al. (2015), predatory fish, including perch and pike, also were found to accumulate especially high amounts of DHA in their muscles.

[Seasonal dynamics of nutritive indicators \(essential PUFA contents and n-6/n-3 ratio\) were revealed for the two studied species, perch and roach, inhabited Krasnoyarsk Reservoir.](#)

Regarding nutritive value for humans, namely the spring perch had the highest content of EPA+DHA per mass unit of the edible tissue, i.e. muscles (filets). Ratio of n-6/n-3 had significant, but comparatively small variations in perch. In contrast to perch, content of EPA+DHA in roach filets increased significantly from June to August, indicating the highest nutritive value of this fish species at the end of summer. Variations of ratio n6/n3 were

statistically significant, but small, e.g., far below threshold value of any harmful effect for human nutrition. Thus, peach caught in spring are best for human consumption, while roach are nutritionally the most valuable in the late summer.

The above seasonal changes were believed to be driven by several ecological factors. Numerous laboratory and field studies showed that fatty acid composition and content in tissues are influenced in part by the food and water temperature (Gribble et al., 2016). The observed significant decrease of percentage of 14:0 in conjunction with the significant increase of percentage of 18:0 from March-June to July-August in perch biomass may be caused by a homeoviscous adaptation. As known, the hypothesis of ‘homeoviscous adaptation’ suggests that a decrease of a part of FAs with comparatively low melting point in response to a decrease of ambient temperature maintains cell membrane fluidity (e.g., Arts and Kohler 2009). The same adaptive changes of 14:0 and 18:0 levels in algae and zooplankton in response to an increase of water temperature were reported in some other works (Dodson et al., 2014; Gladyshev et al., 2015c). These two fatty acids, 14:0 and 18:0 can be synthesized by fish *de novo* (Tocher 2003).

However, other factors, such as diet or maturity related shift, were shown to overwhelm temperature effect on FA in some fish (e.g., Uysal et al., 2006; Copeman et al., 2013). We also find the significant seasonal changes in EPA and DHA percentages in the studied perch that unlikely related to temperature adaptation. EPA was significantly higher in March than in the summer months. This FA was likely originated from diatom algae, which may be abundant in under-ice phytoplankton in spring (e.g., Katz et al., 2015) and thereby contributed substantially to perch’s trophic chain. Indeed, in a neighbor reservoir, a seasonal maximum of EPA in seston occurred in spring and coincided with a peak of diatoms (Sushchik et al., 2003, 2004; Gladyshev et al., 2010b). In contrast to EPA, DHA percentage was the lowest in perch biomass in spring, but increased significantly in summer. However, in Krasnoyarsk Reservoir, there was no evident source of DHA, for instance no abundant Dinophyceae or Euglenophyceae (Gladyshev et al., 1993; Sushchik et al., 2004; Taipale et al., 2013). Hence, we speculate that DHA might be

synthesized in summer by perch from EPA, stored in spring. Indeed, there are some evidences of an effective conversion of EPA into DHA by a freshwater fish (e.g., Sushchik et al., 2006).

The seasonal changes in EPA and DHA in the studied Siberian perch were contrasted with those reported previously for perch from another lentic system, Lake Geneva (Mairesse et al., 2006). In this lake, perch had a significantly lower proportion of DHA in summer than in spring, while EPA showed a reversed tendency. The cited authors supposed a selective mobilization and/or a specific retention of the PUFA during gonadal maturation and spawning. In contrast, we consider that influence of reproductive stages was minimal in the studied Siberian perch, while diet influence prevailed.

In roach, percentages of bacterial fatty acids, 15:0, 15-17BFA, 17:0 and 18:1n-7 (Napolitano 1999) increased significantly from June to August, indicating an increase of contribution of bacterial matter to roach's food chain. In addition, percentage of 18:3n-3 increased in roach biomass, likely originating from some species of cyanobacteria (Sushchik et al., 2004), which are dominant phytoplankton species in the Krasnoyarsk Reservoir in midsummer (Gladyshev et al., 1993). Indeed, abundant detritus and cyanobacteria were found in stomach contents of roach in July and August, while these food items were absent in June.

Percentage of 20:4n-6 in roach biomass decreased significantly from June to August. The same seasonal decrease of this FA was also characteristic of perch. 20:4n-6 is regarded to be a biomarker of allochthonous (terrestrial) organic matter (Gladyshev et al., 2015a). Probably, in spring and early summer, when the reservoir was impounded and flooded adjacent territories, a flux of allochthonous organic matter into aquatic food chains was higher, compared to that in mid and late summer. It is worth to note, that similar increase of 20:4n-6 in fish muscle tissue in spring was reported for two other water bodies (Karaçalı et al., 2011; Görgün et al., 2012). It was also reported that *Daphnia* fed terrestrial particulate organic matter was 10-fold enriched in ARA as compared to *Daphnia* fed algae (Taipale et al., 2015). A significant part of both roach's and

perch's diets in spring and early summer comprised Cladocera. Hence, these invertebrates may transfer allochthonous organic matter to planktivorous/piscivorous fishes in this season.

5. Conclusions

Like in many other studies, there were seasonal dynamics of fatty acid composition and contents of the studied fish. The seasonal changes of FA composition in fish were likely caused by direct and indirect effects of water temperature, which resulted in homeoviscous adaptation of fish cell membranes and in changes of base of food chains (phyto- and bacterioplankton, allochthonous organic matter), respectively. There were significantly higher percentages and contents of DHA in fish of higher trophic level, perch and pike, compared to those in roach and bream, which probably meant a higher trophic transfer efficiency (selective accumulation) of this PUFA in food chains. In contrast, percentages and contents of EPA were significantly higher in fish of the lower trophic level, roach and bream. Regarding sum of content of EPA+DHA ($\text{mg} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \text{ WW}$) in fish as the indicator of their nutritive value for humans, pike in the Krasnoyarsk Reservoir had the highest nutritive value, roach and perch had intermediate overlapped values, and bream had comparatively lower nutritive value. This ranking of nutritive values of the studied fish species was generally supported by literature data from other water bodies.

Acknowledgments

The work was supported by award No. 16-04-00995 from Russian Foundation for Basic Research, by Russian Federal Tasks of Fundamental Research (project No. 51.1.1). The research was partially supported by grant NSh-9249.2016.5 from the President of the Russian Federation.

We are sincerely grateful to anonymous Reviewers for their kind help to improve the manuscript.

References

- Adkins, Y., Kelley, D.S., 2010. Mechanisms underlying the cardioprotective effects of omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids. *J. Nutr. Biochem.* 21, 781–792.
- Ageev, A.V., Gaevsky, N.A., Gladyshev, M.I., Glushchenko, L.A., Gold, Z.G., Gold, V.M., Enikeev, G.A., Enikeeva, I.G., Ivanova, I.A., Kozhevnikova, N.A., Morozova, I.I., Popelnitskaya, I.M., Raspopov, V.E., Skoptsova, G.N., Sapozhnikov, V.A., Oleynikov, B.V., Chuprov, S.M., Shulepina, S.P., Shaposhnikov, A.V., 2008. Krasnoyarsk Reservoir: Monitoring of Biota and Water Quality. Siberian Federal University Press: Krasnoyarsk.
- Ahlgren, G., Blomqvist, P., Boberg, M., Gustafsson, I.-B., 1994. Fatty acid content of the dorsal muscle - an indicator of fat quality in freshwater fish. *J. Fish Biol.* 45,131-157.
- Ahlgren, G., Sonesten, L., Boberg, M., Gustafsson, I. B., 1996. Fatty acid content of some freshwater fish in lakes of different trophic levels-a bottom-up effect? *Ecol. Freshw. Fish* 5, 15-27.
- Ahlgren, G., Vrede, T., Goedkoop, W., 2009. Fatty acid ratios in freshwater fish, zooplankton and zoobenthos – are their specific optima? In: Arts, M.T., Kainz, M., Brett, M.T. (Eds.), *Lipids in aquatic ecosystems*. Springer, New York, pp. 147-178.
- Analytic reports of the Yenisei regional department of Federal Agency for Fisheries of Russian Federation, 2016. <http://fish.gov.ru/otraslevaya-deyatelnost/ekonomika-otrasli/statistika-i-analitika>.
- Arts, M.T., Kohler, C.C., 2009. Health and condition in fish: the influence of lipids on membrane competency and immune response. In: Arts, M.T., Kainz, M., Brett, M.T. (Eds.), *Lipids in aquatic ecosystems*. Springer, New York, pp. 237-256.
- Barnard, C., Martineau, C., Frenette, J.J., Dodson, J.J., Vincent, W.F., 2006. Trophic position of zebra mussel veligers and their use of dissolved organic carbon. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 51, 1473–1484.

- Bazan, N.G., 2009. Cellular and molecular events mediated by docosahexaenoic acid-derived neuroprotectin D1 signaling in photoreceptor cell survival and brain protection. *Prostag. Leukotr. Ess.* 81, 205–211.
- Beaudoin, C.P., Prepas, E.E., Tonn, W.M., Wassenaar, L.I., Kotak, B.G., 2001. A stable carbon and nitrogen isotope study of lake food webs in Canada's Boreal Plain. *Freshwater Biology.* 46, 465–477.
- Casula, M., Soranna, D., Catapano, A.L., Corrao, G., 2013. Long-term effect of high dose omega-3 fatty acid supplementation for secondary prevention of cardiovascular outcomes: A meta-analysis of randomized, double blind, placebo controlled trials. *Atheroscler Suppl.* 14, 243–251.
- Caut, S., Angulo, E., Courchamp, F., 2009. Variation in discrimination factors ($\Delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\Delta^{13}\text{C}$): the effect of diet isotopic values and applications for diet reconstruction. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 46, 443–453.
- Copeman, L.A., Laurel, B.J., Parrish, C.C., 2013. Effect of temperature and tissue type on fatty acid signatures of two species of North Pacific juvenile gadids: A laboratory feeding study. *J. Exp. Marine Biol. Ecol.* 448, 188–196.
- Czesny, S.J., Jacques, R., Hanson, S.D., Dettmers, J.M., Dabrowski, K., 2011. Fatty acid signatures of Lake Michigan prey fish and invertebrates: among-species differences and spatiotemporal variability. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 68, 1211–1230.
- Davis, A.M., Blanchette, M.L., Pusey, B.J., Jardine, T.D. Pearson, R.G., 2012. Gut content and stable isotope analyses provide complementary understanding of ontogenetic dietary shifts and trophic relationships among fishes in a tropical river. *Freshwater Biol.* 57, 2156–2172.
- De Caterina, R., 2011. n–3 Fatty acids in cardiovascular disease. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 364, 2439–50.
- Dodson, V.J., Mouget, J.-L., Dahmen, J.L., Leblond, J.D., 2014. The long and short of it: temperature-dependent modifications of fatty acid chain length and unsaturation in the

galactolipid profiles of the diatoms *Haslea ostrearia* and *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*.
 Hydrobiologia 727, 95-107.

Dubovskaya, O.P., Gladyshev, M.I., Makhutova, O.N., 2004. Limnetic zooplankton passing
 through a high-head dam and their fate in a river with high current velocity (case of the
 Krasnoyarsk Hydroelectric Power Station on the Yenisey River). Zhurnal Obshchei Biologii
 65, 81-93.

FAO, 2016. The state of world fisheries and aquaculture 2016. Food and Agriculture
 Organization of the United Nations, Rome, 204 p.

Gladyshev, M.I., Gribovskaya, I.V., Adamovich, V.V., 1993. Disappearance of phenol in water
 samples taken from the Yenisei river and the Krasnoyarsk reservoir. Water Res. 27, 1063-
 1070.

Gladyshev, M.I., Sushchik, N.N., Anishchenko, O.V., Makhutova, O.N., Kalachova, G.S.,
 Gribovskaya, I.V., 2009a. Benefit-risk ratio of food fish intake as the source of essential
 fatty acids vs. heavy metals: A case study of Siberian grayling from the Yenisei River. Food
 Chem. 115, 545–550.

Gladyshev, M.I., Sushchik, N.N., Makhutova, O.N., Kalacheva, G.S., Kolmakova, A.A.,
 Kravchuk, E.S., Dubovskaya, O.P., 2009b. Efficiency of transfer of essential
 polyunsaturated fatty acids along trophic chains in aquatic ecosystems. Dokl. Biochem.
 Biophys. 426, 158–160.

Gladyshev, M.I., Krylov, A.V., Sushchik, N.N., Malin, M.I., Makhutova, O.N., Chalova, I.V.,
 Kalacheva, G.S., 2010a. Transfer of essential polyunsaturated fatty acids from an aquatic to
 terrestrial ecosystem through the fish-bird trophic pair. Dokl. Biol. Sci. 431, 121-123.

Gladyshev, M.I., Sushchik, N.N., Makhutova, O.N., Dubovskaya, O.P., Kravchuk, E.S.,
 Kalachova, G.S., Khromechek, E.B., 2010b. Correlations between fatty acid composition of
 seston and zooplankton and effects of environmental parameters in a eutrophic Siberian
 reservoir. Limnologica 40, 343-357.

533 Gladyshev, M.I., Sushchik, N.N., Anishchenko, O.V., Makhutova, O.N., Kolmakov, V.I.,
534 Kalachova, G.S., Kolmakova, A.A., Dubovskaya O.P., 2011. Efficiency of transfer of
535 essential polyunsaturated fatty acids versus organic carbon from producers to consumers in a
536 eutrophic reservoir. *Oecologia* 165, 521–531.

537 Gladyshev, M.I., Sushchik, N.N., Makhutova, O.N., 2013. Production of EPA and DHA in
538 aquatic ecosystems and their transfer to the land. *Prostaglandins Other Lipid Mediat.* 107,
539 117-126.

540 Gladyshev, M.I., Sushchik, N.N., Gubanenko, G.A., Kalachova, G.S., Rechkina, E.A.,
541 Malyshevskaya, K.K., 2014. Effect of the way of cooking on contents of essential
542 polyunsaturated fatty acids in filets of zander. *Czech J. Food Sci.* 32, 226–231.

543 Gladyshev, M.I., Kolmakova, O.V., Tolomeev, A.P., Anishchenko, O.V., Makhutova, O.N.,
544 Kolmakova, A.A., Kravchuk, E.S., Glushchenko, L.A., Kolmakov, V.I., Sushchik N.N.,
545 2015a. Differences in organic matter and bacterioplankton between sections of the largest
546 Arctic river: Mosaic or continuum? *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 60, 1314-1331.

547 Gladyshev, M.I., Makhutova, O.N., Gubanenko, G.A., Rechkina, E.A., Kalachova, G.S.,
548 Sushchik, N.N., 2015b. Livers of terrestrial production animals as a source of long-chain
549 polyunsaturated fatty acids for humans: An alternative to fish? *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Tech.* 117,
550 417-1421.

551 Gladyshev, M.I., Sushchik, N.N., Dubovskaya, O.P., Buseva, Z.F., Makhutova, O.N., Fefilova,
552 E.B., Feniova, I.Y., Semenchenko, V.P., Kolmakova, A.A., Kalachova, G.S., 2015c. Fatty
553 acid composition of Cladocera and Copepoda from lakes of contrasting temperature.
554 *Freshwater Biol.* 60, 373-386.

555 Görgün, S., Akpınar, M.A., 2012. Effect of season on the fatty acid composition of the liver and
556 muscle of *Alburnus chalcoides* (Güldenstädt, 1772) from Tödürge Lake (Sivas, Turkey).
557 *Turk. J. Zool.* 36, 691-698.

558 Graeve, M., Albers, C., Kattner, G., 2005. Assimilation and biosynthesis of lipids in Arctic
559 *Calanus* species based on feeding experiments with a ¹³C labelled diatom. J. Exp. Mar. Biol.
560 Ecol. 317,109-25.

561 Greenfield, H., Southgate, D.A.T., 2003. Food Composition Data: Ch.9. Conventions and modes
562 of expression of food composition data. Italy, Rome, FAO, 163–170.

563 Gribble, M.O., Karimi, R., Feingold, B.J., Nyland, J.F., O'Hara, T.M., Gladyshev, M.I., Chen,
564 C.Y., 2016. Mercury, selenium and fish oils in marine food webs and implications for
565 human health. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K. 96, 43-59.

566 Guler, G.O., Aktumsek, A., Cakmak, Y.S., Zengin, G., Citil, O.B., 2011. Effect of season on
567 fatty acid composition and n-3/n-6 ratios of zander and carp muscle lipids in Altinapa Dam
568 Lake. J. Food Sci. 76, 594 - 597.

569 Hibbeln, J.R., Nieminen, L.R.G., Blasbalg, T.L., Riggs, J.A., Lands, W.E.M., 2006. Healthy
570 intakes of n-3 and n-6 fatty acids: estimations considering worldwide diversity. Am. J. Clin.
571 Nutr. 83, 1483 – 1493.

572 Karaçalı, M., Bulut, S., Konuk, M., Solak, K., 2011. Seasonal variations in fatty acid
573 composition of different tissues of mirror carp, *Cyprinus carpio*, in Orenler Dam Lake,
574 Afyonkarahisar, Turkey. Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr. 14, 1007–1017.

575 Kainz, M., Arts, M.T., Mazumder, A., 2004. Essential fatty acids in the planktonic food web and
576 their ecological role for higher trophic levels. Limnol. Oceanogr. 49, 1784-1793.

577 Katz, S.L., Izmet'eva, L.R., Hampton, S.E., Ozersky, T., Shchapov, K., Moore, M.V.,
578 Shimaraeva, S.V., Silow, E.A., 2015. The “Melosira years” of Lake Baikal: Winter
579 environmental conditions at ice onset predict under-ice algal blooms in spring. Limnol.
580 Oceanogr. 60, 1950–1964.

581 Kopprio, G.A., Lara, R.J., Martinez, A., Fricke, A., Graeve, M., Kattner, G., 2015. Stable isotope
582 and fatty acid markers in plankton assemblages of a saline lake: seasonal trends and future
583 scenario. J. Plankton Res. 37, 584–595

584 Kraft, A., Graeve, M., Janssen, D., Greenacre, M., Falk-Petersen, S., 2015. Arctic pelagic
 585 amphipods: lipid dynamics and life strategy J. Plankton Res. 37, 790–807.

586 Kris-Etherton, P.M., Grieger, J.A., Etherton, T.D., 2009. Dietary reference intakes for DHA and
 587 EPA. Prostag. Leukotr. Ess. 81, 99-104.

588 Kwetegyeka, J., Mpango, G., Grahl-Nielsen, O., 2008. Variation in fatty acid composition in
 589 muscle and heart tissues among species and populations of tropical fish in lakes Victoria and
 590 Kyoga. Lipids 43, 1017–1029.

591 Lau, D.C.P., Leung, K.M.Y., Dudgeon, D., 2009. What does stable isotope analysis reveal about
 592 trophic relationships and the relative importance of allochthonous and autochthonous
 593 resources in tropical streams? A synthetic study from Hong Kong. Freshwater Biol. 54, 127–
 594 141.

595 Lau, D.C.P., Vrede, T., Pickova, J., Goedkoop, W., 2012. Fatty acid composition of consumers
 596 in boreal lakes - variation across species, space and time. Freshwater Biol. 57, 24-38.

597 Legendre, P., Legendre, L., 1998. Numerical Ecology. Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 853 p.

598 Mairesse, G., Thomas, M., Gardeur, J.-N., Brun-Bellut, J., 2006. Effects of Geographic Source,
 599 Rearing System, and Season on the Nutritional Quality of Wild and Farmed *Perca*
 600 *fluviatilis*. Lipids 41, 221–229.

601 Michel, P., Oberdoff, T., 1995. Feeding habits of fourteen European freshwater fish species.
 602 Cybium. 19, 5–46.

603 Mozanzadeh, M.T., Marammazi, J.G., Yavari, V., Agh, N., Mohammadian, T., Gisbert, E., 2015.
 604 Dietary n–3 LC-PUFA requirements in silvery-black porgy juveniles (*Sparidentex hasta*).
 605 Aquaculture 448, 151–161.

606 Napolitano, G.E., 1999. Fatty acids as trophic and chemical markers in freshwater ecosystems.
 607 In: Arts, M.T., Wainman, B. C. (Eds.), Lipids in freshwater ecosystems. Springer-Verlag,
 608 New York, pp. 21-44.

- Neff, M.R., Bhavsar, S.P., Ni, F.J., Carpenter, D.O., Drouillard, K., Fisk, A.T., Arts, M.T., 2014. Risk-benefit of consuming Lake Erie fish. *Environmental Research* 134, 57-65.
- Nilsen, M., Pedersen, T., Nilssen, E.M., Fredriksen, S., 2008. Trophic studies in a high-latitude fjord ecosystem – a comparison of stable isotope analyses ($\Delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\Delta^{15}\text{N}$) and trophic-level estimates from a mass-balance model. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 65, 2791-2806.
- Perez, M.J., Rodriguez, C., Cejas, J.R., Martin, M.V., Jerez, S., Lorenzo, A., 2007. Lipid and fatty acid content in wild white seabream (*Diplodus sargus*) broodstock at different stages of the reproductive cycle. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B* 146, 187–196.
- Plourde, M., Cunnane, S.C., 2007. Extremely limited synthesis of long chain polyunsaturates in adults: implications for their dietary essentiality and use as supplements. *Appl. Physiol. Nutr. Metab.* 32, 619-634.
- Post, D.M., Layman, C.A., Arrington, D.A., Takimoto, G., Quattrochi, J., Montana, C.G., 2007. Getting to the fat of the matter: models, methods and assumptions for dealing with lipids in stable isotope analyses. *Oecologia*. 152, 179–189.
- Robert, S.S., 2006. Production of eicosapentaenoic and docosahexaenoic acid-containing oils in transgenic land plants for human and aquaculture nutrition. *Mar. Biotechnol.* 8, 103-109.
- Rojbek, M.C., Tomkiewicz, J., Jacobsen, C., Stottrup, J.G., 2014. Forage fish quality: seasonal lipid dynamics of herring (*Clupea harengus* L.) and sprat (*Sprattus sprattus* L.) in the Baltic Sea. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.* 71, 56–71.
- Rombenso, A.N., Trushenski, J.T., Jirsa, D., Drawbridge, M., 2015. Successful fish oil sparing in White Seabass feeds using saturated fatty acid-rich soybean oil and 22:6n-3 (DHA) supplementation. *Aquaculture* 448, 176–185.
- Sargent, J., Bell, G., McEvoy, L., Tocher, D., Estevez, A., 1999. Recent developments in the essential fatty acid nutrition of fish. *Aquaculture* 177, 191–199.

- Strandberg, U., Hiltunena, M., Jelkänen, E., Taipale, S.J., Kainz, M.J., Brett, M.T., Kankaala, P., 2015. Selective transfer of polyunsaturated fatty acids from phytoplankton to planktivorous fish in large boreal lakes. *Sci. Total Environ.* 536, 858–865.
- Sushchik, N.N., Gladyshev, M.I., Kalachova, G.S., Kravchuk, E.S., Dubovskaya, O.P., Ivanova, E.A., 2003. Particulate fatty acids in two small Siberian reservoirs dominated by different groups of phytoplankton. *Freshwater Biol.* 48, 394 - 403.
- Sushchik, N.N., Gladyshev, M.I., Makhutova, O.N., Kalachova, G.S., Kravchuk, E.S., Ivanova, E.A., 2004. Associating particulate essential fatty acids of the ω 3 family with phytoplankton species composition in a Siberian reservoir. *Freshwater Biol.* 49, 1206-1219.
- Sushchik, N.N., Gladyshev, M.I., Kalachova, G.S., Makhutova, O.N., Ageev, A.V., 2006. Comparison of seasonal dynamics of the essential PUFA contents in benthic invertebrates and grayling *Thymallus arcticus* in the Yenisei river. *Compar. Biochem. Physiol. B.* 145, 278–287.
- Sushchik, N.N., Gladyshev, M.I., Kalachova, G.S., 2007. Seasonal dynamics of fatty acid content of a common food fish from the Yenisei river, Siberian grayling, *Thymallus arcticus*. *Food Chem.* 104, 1353–1358.
- Taipale, S., Strandberg, U., Peltomaa, E., Galloway, A.W.E., Ojala, A., Brett, M.T., 2013. Fatty acid composition as biomarkers of freshwater microalgae: analysis of 37 strains of microalgae in 22 genera and in seven classes. *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.* 71, 165-178.
- Tocher, D.R., 2003. Metabolism and functions of lipids and fatty acids in teleost fish. *Rev. Fish. Sci.* 11, 107–184.
- Trushenski, J., Schwarz, M., Bergman, A., Rombenso, A., Delbos, B., 2012. DHA is essential, EPA appears largely expendable, in meeting the n–3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid requirements of juvenile cobia *Rachycentron canadum*. *Aquaculture* 326–329, 81–89.
- Vander Zanden, M.J., Rasmussen, J.B., 2001. Variation in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ trophic fractionation: Implications for aquatic food web studies. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 46, 2061–2066.

- Vasconi, M., Caprino F., Bellagamba, F., Busetto, M.L., Bernardi, C., Puzzi, C., Moretti, V.M.,
2015. Fatty acid composition of freshwater wild fish in subalpine lakes: a comparative
study. *Lipids*. 50, 283-302.
- Williams, M.C.W., Schrank, C., Anderson, H.A., 2014. Fatty acids in thirteen Wisconsin sport
fish species. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*. 40, 771-777.
- Uysal, K., Yerlikaya, A., Aksoylar, M.Y., Yontem, M., Ulupinar, M., 2006. Variations in fatty
acids composition of pikeperch (*Sander lucioperca*) liver with respect to gonad maturation.
Ecology of Freshwater Fish. 15, 441–445.
- Zambrano, L., Perrow, M.R., Sayer, C.D., Tomlinson, M.L., Davidson, T.A., 2006.
Relationships between fish feeding guild and trophic structure in English lowland shallow
lakes subject to anthropogenic influence: implications for lake restoration. *Aquat. Ecol.* 40,
391–405.

Figure legends

Fig.1 Map of the studied area. Asterisk indicates the sampling site in Ubei Bay of Krasnoyarsk Reservoir (Siberia, Russia).

Fig. 2 Average values of the isotope ratios in muscle tissue of four fish species from the Krasnoyarsk Reservoir (Siberia, Russia), June 2014-2015. Bars represent standard errors. Number of samples for each species is given in Table 1.

Fig. 3 Scatterplot of canonical scores for the two discriminant functions, Root 1 (canonical $R = 0.972$, degree of freedom, d.f. = 104, $P < 0.001$) and Root 2 (canonical $R = 0.947$, d.f. = 84, $P < 0.001$), after multivariate discriminant analysis of the fatty acid percentages (% of total FAs) in muscle tissue of four fish species (June 2014-2015, Krasnoyarsk Reservoir, Siberia, Russia), **a**; factor structure coefficients showing the contribution of variables to the discriminant functions, Root 1 and Root 2, **b**.

Fig. 4 Average stable isotope signatures versus average values of FA markers (percentages of the total FA or ratios) in muscle tissue of four fish species (June 2014-2015, Krasnoyarsk Reservoir, Siberia, Russia). Nitrogen isotope ratios (black circles) versus 18:1n-9/18:1n7 (bars) as putative markers of trophic level, **a**; carbon isotope ratio (black circles) versus 20:1n-9 and sum of branched 15-17 fatty acids (bars) as putative markers of pelagic and detritus food sources, **b**.

Table 1. The basic biological and sampling information of four fish species from Krasnoyarsk Reservoir (Siberia, Russia).

Common name	Species name, Order	Food habits	Reproduction	Sampling period	Average fish total length, cm (mean \pm SE)	Average fish total weight, g (mean \pm SE)	Number of samples*
Eurasian perch	<i>Perca fluviatilis</i> (Linnaeus, 1758), Perciformes	Piscivorous-omnivorous	Spring-summer	June 2014, March, June - August 2015	21.9 \pm 1.5	138.2 \pm 21.8	37 (11)
Roach	<i>Rutilus rutilus</i> (Pallas, 1840), Cypriniformes	Omnivorous (planktivorous)	Summer	June 2014, June – August 2015	26.0 \pm 1.2	183.7 \pm 26.5	24 (11)
Pike	<i>Esox lucius</i> (Linnaeus, 1758), Esociformes	Piscivorous	Spring	June 2014	64.1 \pm 3.4	526.3 \pm 30.6	5 (5)
Bream	<i>Abramis brama</i> (Linnaeus, 1758), Cypriniformes	Omnivorous (bentivorous)	Summer	June 2015	44.2 \pm 2.6	641.2 \pm 53.5	5 (5)

* number of samples for fatty acid and moisture analyses, in brackets - number of samples for stable isotope analyses

Table 2. Food items in the stomach contents of fish caught in Krasnoyarsk Reservoir (Siberia, Russia) in 2014-2015: N - number of analyzed stomachs; n- number of empty stomachs.

Species, month	N/n	Mollusca	Plecoptera	Ephemeroptera	Copepoda	Cladocera	Detritus	Fish	Green algae	Diatom algae	Cyanobacteria
Eurasian perch											
March	7/0				+	+++	+			+	
June	15/3	+	++	++	+	++			+		
July	10/2	+			++			+++	+		+
August	5/0							+++	++		+
Roach											
June	14/1	+	++	++		++			+	+	
July	4/1					+	++		++	+	++
August	5/0						+++		++		+
Pike											
June	5/0	+					++	+++			
Bream											
June	5/0	+			++	+++			+	+	

+++food item comprising high proportion in all full stomachs of the specimens, i.e., approximately ranging of 30-60 % of the total volume;

++ food item comprising moderate proportion in all full stomachs of the specimens, i.e., approximately ranging of 10-30 % of the total volume;

+ food item comprising low proportion in all full stomachs of the specimens, i.e., approximately ranging of 1-10 % of the total volume.

Table 3. Average (\pm SE - standard errors) moisture content (% of wet weight) in muscle tissues of fish species captured in Krasnoyarsk Reservoir (Siberia, Russia) in 2014-2015.

Species	Moisture		
Eurasian perch	72.7	\pm	0.6
Roach	70.2	\pm	0.6
Pike	72.0	\pm	0.6
Bream	71.6	\pm	1.1

Table 4. Results of one-way ANOVA comparing mean values (\pm SE) of levels (% of total FA) and contents (mg g⁻¹ of wet weight) of fatty acids, responsible for differences among Eurasian perch captured in Krasnoyarsk Reservoir (Siberia, Russia) in different months of 2014-2015: *F*– Fisher’s test and its significance, *P*(significant values are given in bold), *n* – number of samples; means labelled with the same letter are not significantly different at *P*< 0.05 after Fisher’s LSD *post hoc* test. When ANOVA is insignificant, letter labels are absent.

	March	June	July	August	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>
<i>n</i>	7	15	10	5		
14:0, %	1.2 \pm 0.1 ^A	1.0 \pm 0.0 ^{AB}	0.9 \pm 0.1 ^B	0.8 \pm 0.2 ^B	3.5	0.0258
15:0	0.4 \pm 0.0 ^A	0.3 \pm 0.0 ^B	0.3 \pm 0.0 ^B	0.3 \pm 0.0 ^B	7.1	0.0008
16:0	20.3 \pm 0.5	20.0 \pm 0.4	20.8 \pm 0.4	20.0 \pm 0.2	1.0	0.4117
16:1n-9	0.5 \pm 0.0 ^A	1.1 \pm 0.0 ^B	1.2 \pm 0.1 ^{BC}	1.3 \pm 0.1 ^C	25.1	0.0000
16:1n-7	2.2 \pm 0.1 ^A	3.5 \pm 0.2 ^B	2.9 \pm 0.4 ^{AB}	3.6 \pm 0.5 ^B	3.4	0.0292
15-17BFA*	0.7 \pm 0.0	0.9 \pm 0.1	1.0 \pm 0.1	0.9 \pm 0.0	1.8	0.1735
17:0	0.5 \pm 0.0 ^A	0.4 \pm 0.0 ^B	0.6 \pm 0.0 ^C	0.6 \pm 0.0 ^A	45.5	0.0000
18:0	5.6 \pm 0.1 ^A	5.1 \pm 0.2 ^A	7.6 \pm 0.1 ^B	7.4 \pm 0.1 ^B	45.7	0.0000
18:1n-9	6.1 \pm 0.2	6.7 \pm 0.3	7.0 \pm 0.3	7.3 \pm 0.4	1.7	0.0000
18:1n-7	2.8 \pm 0.1	2.9 \pm 0.1	3.0 \pm 0.2	3.2 \pm 0.2	1.2	0.0000
18:2n-6	2.6 \pm 0.1 ^A	1.8 \pm 0.1 ^B	2.7 \pm 0.3 ^{BC}	3.1 \pm 0.4 ^{AC}	7.0	0.0009
18:3n-3	2.0 \pm 0.0 ^A	1.1 \pm 0.1 ^B	1.9 \pm 0.1 ^{BC}	2.0 \pm 0.1 ^{AC}	6.4	0.0015
18:4n-3	1.0 \pm 0.0	0.5 \pm 0.1	0.6 \pm 0.0	0.6 \pm 0.0	0.4	0.7651
20:1n-9	0.3 \pm 0.0 ^A	0.6 \pm 0.0 ^B	0.3 \pm 0.0 ^B	0.1 \pm 0.0 ^B	4.6	0.0085
20:4n-6	9.9 \pm 0.3 ^A	8.5 \pm 0.2 ^B	6.5 \pm 0.2 ^C	6.1 \pm 0.4 ^C	31.0	0.0000
20:5n-3	14.2 \pm 0.3 ^A	7.6 \pm 0.3 ^B	9.1 \pm 0.3 ^C	9.5 \pm 0.5 ^C	70.4	0.0000
22:5n-6	1.5 \pm 0.1 ^A	2.3 \pm 0.1 ^B	1.3 \pm 0.1 ^A	1.2 \pm 0.1 ^A	24.7	0.0000
22:5n-3	3.0 \pm 0.1 ^A	2.1 \pm 0.1 ^B	2.3 \pm 0.1 ^B	2.1 \pm 0.1 ^B	8.8	0.0020
22:6n-3	18.8 \pm 0.1 ^A	28.1 \pm 0.8 ^B	25.2 \pm 1.1 ^C	24.3 \pm 1.2 ^C	17.6	0.0000
20:5n-3, mg g ⁻¹	0.8 \pm 0.0 ^A	0.3 \pm 0.0 ^B	0.3 \pm 0.0 ^B	0.4 \pm 0.0 ^B	68.2	0.0000
22:6n-3	1.1 \pm 0.1 ^{AC}	1.2 \pm 0.1 ^{AB}	0.9 \pm 0.0 ^C	0.9 \pm 0.0 ^C	4.5	0.0097
20:5n-3 +22:6n-3	2.0 \pm 0.1 ^A	1.5 \pm 0.1 ^B	1.2 \pm 0.1 ^C	1.3 \pm 0.0 ^{BC}	9.0	0.0002
ΣFA	6.0 \pm 0.2 ^A	4.4 \pm 0.3 ^B	3.5 \pm 0.2 ^C	3.8 \pm 0.2 ^{BC}	9.4	0.0001
n6/n3	0.4 \pm 0.0 ^A	0.4 \pm 0.0 ^A	0.3 \pm 0.0 ^B	0.3 \pm 0.0 ^B	8.2	0.0003

* 15-17BFA, sum of branched fatty acids with 15 and 17-carbon atom chains.

Table 5. Results of one-way ANOVA comparing mean values (\pm SE) of levels (% of total FA) and contents (mg g⁻¹ of wet weight) of fatty acids, responsible for differences among roach captured in Krasnoyarsk Reservoir (Siberia, Russia) in different months of 2014-2015: *F*– Fisher’s test and its significance, *P*(significant values are given in bold), *n* – number of samples; means labelled with the same letter are not significantly different at *P*< 0.05 after Fisher’s LSD *post hoc* test. When ANOVA is insignificant, letter labels are absent.

	June	July	August	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>
<i>n</i>	15	5	4		
14:0, %	1.4 \pm 0.1	0.8 \pm 0.0	1.0 \pm 0.0	3.0	0.0707
15:0	0.3 \pm 0.0 ^A	0.4 \pm 0.0 ^B	0.4 \pm 0.0 ^B	6.2	0.0075
16:0	20.1 \pm 0.7 ^A	18.9 \pm 0.5 ^{AB}	16.5 \pm 0.3 ^B	4.3	0.0266
16:1n-9	0.4 \pm 0.1	0.2 \pm 0.1	0.5 \pm 0.1	1.3	0.2979
16:1n-7	3.0 \pm 0.2	4.3 \pm 0.5	4.4 \pm 1.4	3.0	0.0707
15-17BFA*	0.9 \pm 0.1 ^A	1.8 \pm 0.0 ^B	2.3 \pm 0.2 ^C	33.1	0.0000
17:0	0.4 \pm 0.0 ^A	0.7 \pm 0.0 ^B	0.6 \pm 0.0 ^B	55.9	0.0000
18:0	5.2 \pm 0.1 ^A	6.2 \pm 0.2 ^B	5.7 \pm 0.1 ^{AB}	7.8	0.0028
18:1n-9	8.1 \pm 0.5 ^A	10.6 \pm 0.3 ^B	13.7 \pm 0.6 ^C	18.7	0.0000
18:1n-7	3.0 \pm 0.1 ^A	4.0 \pm 0.3 ^B	4.6 \pm 0.1 ^B	23.2	0.0000
18:2n-6	3.1 \pm 0.1 ^A	2.6 \pm 0.2 ^A	3.7 \pm 0.1 ^B	5.1	0.0153
18:3n-3	1.6 \pm 0.1 ^A	3.3 \pm 0.1 ^B	3.1 \pm 0.1 ^B	92.0	0.0000
18:4n-3	0.5 \pm 0.0 ^A	0.8 \pm 0.1 ^B	0.6 \pm 0.0 ^{AB}	5.1	0.0158
20:1n-9	0.3 \pm 0.0 ^A	0.1 \pm 0.1 ^B	0.3 \pm 0.1 ^{AB}	5.9	0.0090
20:2n-6	0.7 \pm 0.1 ^A	0.4 \pm 0.0 ^B	0.4 \pm 0.1 ^B	8.0	0.0026
20:4n-6	9.0 \pm 0.5 ^A	5.4 \pm 0.1 ^B	5.0 \pm 0.2 ^B	14.5	0.0001
20:3n-3	0.7 \pm 0.0 ^A	1.2 \pm 0.0 ^B	0.8 \pm 0.0 ^A	20.8	0.0000
20:4n-3	1.8 \pm 0.1 ^A	1.9 \pm 0.0 ^A	1.3 \pm 0.1 ^B	3.9	0.0375
20:5n-3	11.9 \pm 0.6	12.3 \pm 0.2	10.1 \pm 0.2	2.0	0.1546
22:5n-6	1.0 \pm 0.1 ^A	0.4 \pm 0.0 ^B	0.7 \pm 0.0 ^{AB}	4.6	0.0214
22:5n-3	3.6 \pm 0.2	3.1 \pm 0.1	3.3 \pm 0.1	1.3	0.3048
22:6n-3	19.7 \pm 0.7 ^A	16.0 \pm 0.6 ^B	15.1 \pm 0.6 ^B	9.1	0.0015
20:5n-3, mg g ⁻¹	0.6 \pm 0.0 ^A	1.4 \pm 0.2 ^B	1.4 \pm 0.2 ^B	24.6	0.0000
22:6n-3	0.9 \pm 0.1 ^A	1.8 \pm 0.2 ^B	2.1 \pm 0.3 ^B	20.7	0.0000
20:5n-3 +22:6n-3	1.5 \pm 0.1 ^A	3.2 \pm 0.3 ^B	3.5 \pm 0.5 ^B	23.4	0.0000
ΣFA	4.9 \pm 0.4 ^A	11.3 \pm 1.4 ^B	14.2 \pm 2.4 ^B	26.0	0.0000
n6/n3	0.4 \pm 0.0 ^A	0.2 \pm 0.0 ^B	0.3 \pm 0.0 ^B	12.5	0.0003

* 15-17BFA, sum of branched fatty acids with 15 and 17-carbon atom chains.

Table 6. Results of one-way ANOVA comparing mean values (\pm SE) of levels (% of total FA) and contents (mg g⁻¹ of wet weight) of fatty acids, responsible for differences among fish species captured in Krasnoyarsk Reservoir (Siberia, Russia) in June of 2014-2015: *F*– Fisher’s test and its significance, *P* (significant values are given in bold), *n* – number of samples; means labelled with the same letter are not significantly different at *P* < 0.05 after Fisher’s LSD *post hoc* test. When ANOVA is insignificant, letter labels are absent.

	perch	pike	roach	bream	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>
<i>n</i>	15	5	15	5		
14:0, %	1.0 \pm 0.0	1.0 \pm 0.2	1.4 \pm 0.1	1.2 \pm 0.3	1.4	0.2478
15:0	0.3 \pm 0.0 ^{AB}	0.4 \pm 0.0 ^B	0.3 \pm 0.0 ^A	0.4 \pm 0.0 ^B	3.5	0.0255
16:0	20.0 \pm 0.4	19.9 \pm 0.5	20.1 \pm 0.7	18.0 \pm 0.4	1.6	0.2090
16:1n-9	1.1 \pm 0.0 ^B	0.5 \pm 0.1 ^A	0.4 \pm 0.1 ^A	0.5 \pm 0.1 ^A	16.1	0.0000
16:1n-7	3.5 \pm 0.2 ^B	1.8 \pm 0.3 ^A	3.0 \pm 0.2 ^B	2.8 \pm 0.5 ^B	5.5	0.0032
15-17BFA*	0.9 \pm 0.1 ^A	1.2 \pm 0.1 ^A	0.9 \pm 0.1 ^A	1.9 \pm 0.4 ^B	7.6	0.0004
17:0	0.4 \pm 0.0 ^B	0.4 \pm 0.0 ^{AB}	0.4 \pm 0.0 ^B	0.5 \pm 0.1 ^A	3.3	0.0319
18:0	5.1 \pm 0.2 ^A	5.9 \pm 0.4 ^{BC}	5.2 \pm 0.1 ^{AB}	6.2 \pm 0.3 ^C	4.0	0.0150
18:1n-9	6.7 \pm 0.3 ^A	7.7 \pm 0.4 ^{AB}	8.1 \pm 0.5 ^B	9.1 \pm 0.9 ^B	3.5	0.0247
18:1n-7	2.9 \pm 0.1 ^A	2.4 \pm 0.1 ^A	3.0 \pm 0.1 ^{AB}	3.4 \pm 0.4 ^B	3.6	0.0229
18:2n-6	1.8 \pm 0.1 ^A	2.2 \pm 0.2 ^A	3.1 \pm 0.1 ^B	2.9 \pm 0.4 ^B	15.9	0.0000
18:3n-3	1.1 \pm 0.1 ^A	1.7 \pm 0.3 ^B	1.6 \pm 0.1 ^B	1.7 \pm 0.3 ^B	4.1	0.0140
18:4n-3	0.5 \pm 0.1	0.9 \pm 0.2	0.5 \pm 0.0	0.5 \pm 0.1	2.7	0.0621
20:1n-9	0.6 \pm 0.0 ^A	0.2 \pm 0.0 ^B	0.3 \pm 0.0 ^C	0.2 \pm 0.1 ^B	13.2	0.0000
20:4n-6	8.5 \pm 0.2 ^{BC}	5.2 \pm 0.4 ^A	9.0 \pm 0.5 ^C	7.2 \pm 0.5 ^B	9.2	0.0001
20:5n-3	7.6 \pm 0.3 ^A	7.0 \pm 0.3 ^A	11.9 \pm 0.6 ^B	9.9 \pm 0.7 ^C	21.7	0.0000
22:5n-6	2.3 \pm 0.1 ^B	2.0 \pm 0.2 ^{BC}	1.0 \pm 0.1 ^A	1.5 \pm 0.1 ^C	23.7	0.0000
22:5n-3	2.1 \pm 0.1 ^A	2.1 \pm 0.2 ^A	3.6 \pm 0.2 ^B	2.6 \pm 0.2 ^A	18.2	0.0000
22:6n-3	28.1 \pm 0.8 ^A	32.9 \pm 1.8 ^B	19.7 \pm 0.7 ^C	22.9 \pm 1.6 ^C	31.9	0.0000
20:5n-3, mg g ⁻¹	0.3 \pm 0.0 ^A	0.4 \pm 0.0 ^A	0.6 \pm 0.0 ^B	0.3 \pm 0.0 ^A	9.0	0.0001
22:6n-3	1.2 \pm 0.1 ^A	1.9 \pm 0.1 ^B	0.9 \pm 0.1 ^C	0.7 \pm 0.1 ^C	13.7	0.0000
20:5n-3 + 22:6n-3	1.5 \pm 0.1 ^A	2.3 \pm 0.1 ^B	1.5 \pm 0.1 ^A	1.1 \pm 0.1 ^C	7.2	0.0007
ΣFA	4.4 \pm 0.3	5.7 \pm 0.3	4.9 \pm 0.4	3.3 \pm 0.6	2.8	0.0556
n6/n3	0.4 \pm 0.0 ^A	0.2 \pm 0.0 ^B	0.4 \pm 0.0 ^A	0.3 \pm 0.0 ^A	8.7	0.0002

* 15-17BFA, sum of branched fatty acids with 15 and 17-carbon atom chains.

Table 7. Content of eicosapentaenoic and docosahexaenoic acids (mg g⁻¹, wet weight) in four fish species.

Species	EPA	DHA	EPA+DHA	Source
Eurasian perch (<i>Perca fluviatilis</i>)	0.27	0.91	1.18	Ahlgren et al., 1994*
	0.35	1.34	1.69	Vasconi et al., 2015**
	0.43	1.07	1.49	present data
Roach (<i>Rutilus rutilus</i>)	0.56	0.98	1.54	Ahlgren et al., 1994*
	0.93	2.42	3.36	Vasconi et al., 2015**
	0.88	1.32	2.20	present data
Pike (<i>Esox lucius</i>)	0.31	1.19	1.50	Ahlgren et al., 1994*
	0.21	1.13	1.34	Neff et al., 2014
	0.32	1.12	1.44	Williams et al., 2014
	0.74	3.97	4.72	Vasconi et al., 2015**
	0.40	1.88	2.28	present data
Bream (<i>Abramis brama</i>)	0.37	0.60	0.97	Ahlgren et al., 1994*
	0.32	0.74	1.06	present data

*The data were recalculated from mg g⁻¹ of dry weight (DW) to mg g⁻¹ of wet weight (WW) using DW/WW (%) ratios given in Table 1 of the reference.

**Recalculated from Table 5 of the reference.